

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# A study of a new co-processed dry binder based on spray-dried lactose and microcrystalline cellulose

## Studium nového směsného suchého pojiva na bázi sprejově sušené laktosy a mikrokrystalické celulosy

Jitka Mužíková • Pavla Šináglová

Received 13 March 2013 / Accepted 26 March 2013

### Summary

The paper studies the compressibility and disintegration time of tablets from the co-processed dry binder Disintequik™ MCC in combination with two lubricants at two concentrations in dependence on compression force. It also compares identical parameters in the physical mixtures of the spray-dried lactose Flowlac® 100 and the microcrystalline cellulose Microcel® MC-102 in the ratios of 9 : 1, 8 : 2 and 7 : 3, again in combination with two lubricants of two concentrations at one compression force. The lubricants employed are magnesium stearate and poloxamer 407 in concentrations of 1% and 2%. Compressibility is evaluated by means of energy balance of compression and tensile strength of tablets. Disintequik™ MCC shows higher values of total energy of compression due to higher values of the energy accumulated by the tablet, higher plasticity, higher strength and a longer disintegration time of tablets than the physical mixture of spray-dried lactose and microcrystalline cellulose of a corresponding content.

**Keywords:** Disintequik™ MCC • magnesium stearate • poloxamer 407 • energy profile of compression • tensile strength of tablets • disintegration time of tablets

### Souhrn

Práce studuje lisovatelnost a dobu rozpadu tablet ze směsného suchého pojiva Disintequik™ MCC v kombinaci se dvěma mazadly ve dvou koncentracích v závislosti na lisovací síle. Dále porovnává stejné parametry u fyzikálních směsí sprejově sušené laktosy Flowlac® 100 a mikrokrystalické celulosy Microcel® MC-102 v poměrech 1 : 9, 2 : 8 a 3 : 7 opět v kombinaci se dvěma mazadly ve dvou koncentracích při jedné lisovací síle. Použitá mazadla jsou stearan hořečnatý a poloxamer 407 v koncentraci 1% a 2%. Lisovatelnost je hodnocena pomocí energetické bilance lisování a pevnosti tablet v tahu. Disintequik™ MCC vykazuje vyšší hodnoty celkové energie lisování díky vyšším hodnotám energie akumulované tabletou, vyšší plasticitu, vyšší pevnost a delší dobu rozpadu tablet než obsahově odpovídající fyzikální směs sprejově sušené laktosy a mikrokrystalické celulosy.

**Klíčová slova:** Disintequik™ MCC • stearan hořečnatý • poloxamer 407 • energetický profil lisování • pevnost tablet v tahu • doba rozpadu tablet

### Introduction

Co-processed dry binders are perspective auxiliary substances for direct compression of tablets. Their advantage is primarily their multifunctionality, which decreases the number of excipients in tableting, thus removing several steps of mixing and accelerating the process of production<sup>1</sup>. Co-processed dry binders, often prepared by spray-drying, usually exert better properties for tableting than the physical mixtures of their ingredients. In their preparation physical changes take place without their chemical change<sup>1, 2</sup>. In co-processed products for direct compression we can find, for example, two dry binders (MicroceLac® 100 – 75% lactose monohydrate and 25% microcrystalline cellulose)<sup>3</sup>, a dry binder and a glidant (Prosolv® SMCC – 98% microcrystalline cellulose and 2% colloidal silicon dioxide)<sup>4</sup>, more recently a dry binder and a lubricant (LubriTose™ SD – 96% spray-dried lactose and 4% glyceryl monostearate)<sup>5</sup> or even a larger number of

PharmDr. Jitka Mužíková, Ph.D. (✉) • P. Šináglová  
Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy  
Charles University in Prague  
Heyrovského 1203, 500 05 Hradec Králové  
e-mail: muzikova@faf.cuni.cz

auxiliary substances (Prosolv® EasyTab – 95–98% microcrystalline cellulose, 1.5–2.5% colloidal silicon dioxide, 0.5–2% sodium starch glycolate and 0.3–1% sodium stearyl fumarate<sup>6</sup>). This paper aimed to study the compressibility and disintegration time of tablets from the novel co-processed dry binder Disintequick™ MCC, which contains 90% of spray-dried lactose and 10% microcrystalline cellulose<sup>7</sup>, and this combination of excipients should positively influence the strength and disintegration time of tablets.

## Experimental part

### Materials

Disintequick™ MCC – co-processed dry binder of spray-dried lactose (90%) and microcrystalline cellulose (10%) (Kerry, USA);

Flowlac® 100 – spray-dried lactose (Meggler-Pharma, Germany);

Microcel® MC-102 – microcrystalline cellulose (Blanver, Brazil);

Lutrol® micro 127 – poloxamer 407 (BASF, Germany);  
Magnesium stearate (Acros Organics, USA);

### Preparation of tableting compositions

Altogether 16 tableting materials of the following compositions were prepared:

- Disintequick™ MCC with 1 and 2% magnesium stearate and poloxamer 407,
- Flowlac® 100 + Microcel® MC-102 9 : 1 with 1 and 2% magnesium stearate and poloxamer 407,
- Flowlac® 100 + Microcel® MC-102 8 : 2 with 1 and 2% magnesium stearate and poloxamer 407,
- Flowlac® 100 + Microcel® MC-102 7 : 3 with 1 and 2% magnesium stearate and poloxamer 407.

The mixtures were prepared by mixing in a mixing cube KB 15S (Erweka GmbH, Hausenstamm, Germany), rotated at a speed of 17 revolutions per minute. Disintequick™ MCC with lubricants was mixed for 5 minutes. Dry binders were mixed also for 5 minutes and subsequently a lubricant was added for another 5 minutes. The weight of the tableting materials under preparation was 30 g.

### Preparation of tablets and energy evaluation of compacting process

Tablets were compacted on a material testing equipment T1-FRO 50 TH.A1K Zwick/Roell (Zwick GmbH & Co, Germany) by means of a special die with a lower and an upper punch. The rate of compaction was 40 mm/min, pre-load was 2 N, and the rate of pre-load 2 mm/s. Compression forces for Disintequick™ MCC with lubricants were 10, 12 and 14 kN, for the mixtures of dry binders with lubricants, 14 kN. Each compression force was employed to manufacture 16 tablets. The tablets were of cylindrical shape without facets, diameter of 13 mm, weight  $0.5 \pm 0.0010$  g. In the first 10 tablets of each group, the computer programme testXpert V 9.01 recorded always the compression process by means of the “force-displacement” record and numerically evaluated the energy balance of compression, i.e., the energy consumed

for friction  $E_1$ , energy accumulated by the tablet after compression  $E_2$  and the energy released during decompression  $E_3$ , total energy  $E_{max}$ , which is the sum total of all energies, and plasticity<sup>8</sup>.

### Measurement of tensile strength of tablets

Tensile strength of tablets was always measured in 10 tablets no sooner than 24 hours after compression. Measurements were performed using a Schleuniger apparatus, which measures the diameter and height of tablets with a precision of 0.01 mm and destruction force in N. Tensile strength of tablets was subsequently calculated according to the equation [1]<sup>9</sup>:

$$P = 2 \cdot F / (\pi \cdot d \cdot h), \quad [1]$$

where  $P$  is tensile strength of tablets in MPa,  $F$  is destruction force in N,  $d$  is the diameter of tablets in mm,  $h$  is the height of tablets in mm.

### Measurement of the disintegration time of tablets

Disintegration time was always measured in 6 tablets at each compression force at least 24 hours after compaction. The measurements were made on a device for testing the disintegration time of tablets Erweka ZT 301 (Erweka GmbH, Hausenstamm, Germany) using the method described in the Czech Pharmacopoeia 2009 – Supplement 2010<sup>10</sup>. The test was carried out without discs in the medium of purified water tempered for  $37 \pm 1$  °C. The tablets were considered disintegrated at the moment when on the net of the tube there was no remainder.

### Statistical processing of results

Experimentally obtained values of tensile strength of tablets and disintegration time were statistically processed using the computer programmes Excel and Qcexpert. The values of energies and plasticity were statistically processed by the computer programme testXpert V 9.01 directly during processing. The average values with standard deviations of strength and disintegration time of tablets were processed graphically; the average values with the standard deviations of energies and plasticity were tabulated. In the case of unclear differences in the values the unpaired t-test at the level of significance of 0.05 was employed.

## Results and discussion

This paper aimed to study the compressibility and disintegration time of tablets from the co-processed dry binder Disintequick™ MCC in combination with two lubricants in two concentrations in dependence on compression force. Another aim was to compare the identical parameters in physical mixtures of spray-dried lactose Flowlac® 100 and microcrystalline cellulose Microcel® MC-102 in the ratios of 1 : 9, 2 : 8 and 3 : 7, again in combination with two lubricants in two concentrations at one compression force. The lubricants employed were magnesium stearate and poloxamer 407 in concentrations of 1% and 2%. Compressibility was evaluated by means of energy balance of compression and tensile strength of tablets. The employed compression

forces for Disintequik™ MCC with lubricants were selected in such a way as to have at least one of them usable for the comparison of the substance with the physical mixtures of dry binders, where it was assumed that tensile strength of tablets would be markedly lower. Thus the selected compression forces were 10, 12 and 14 kN, the compression force of 14 kN being used for the comparison of the co-processed dry binder with physical mixtures of spray-dried lactose and microcrystalline cellulose, even though the strength of tablets from the mixtures of Disintequik™ MCC with lubricants was above the limit of the optimal strength (0.56–1.12 MPa)<sup>11</sup>.

The results of the study are summarized in two Tables and four Figures. Table 1 shows the values of the energy balance of compression and plasticity for Disintequik™ MCC with lubricants. It is evident for the results that total energy of compression  $E_{\max}$  increases with the compression force and is lower in the case of mixtures

with magnesium stearate. This result is due mainly to lower values of energy for friction  $E_1$ , as within the range of the lubricants employed there are no marked differences between the values of energy accumulated by the tablet after compression  $E_2$  and the values of energy of decompression  $E_3$ . Within the employed concentration of both lubricants, no statistically significant difference was found in the values of total energy, energy for friction, and energy of decompression. Only in the energy accumulated by the tablet, there are slightly lower values for 2% of both lubricants at the compression force of 10 kN and for poloxamer 407 at the compression forces of 12 and 14 kN. Plasticity decreases with compression force, which is logical due to decreasing porosity of tablets<sup>12</sup>, and it decreases with increased concentration of lubricants. The type of the lubricant used does not influence plasticity. Table 2 lists the energies of compression and plasticity for physical mixtures of Flowlac® 100 with Microcel® MC-102. Dry binders were mixed in three ratios, the ratio

Table 1. Values of energies of compression and plasticity – Disintequik™ MCC with lubricants

| Tableting material | CF (kN) | $E_{\max}$ (J)<br>( $s_{E_{\max}}$ (J)) | $E_1$ (J)<br>( $s_{E_1}$ (J)) | $E_2$ (J)<br>( $s_{E_2}$ (J)) | $E_3$ (J)<br>( $s_{E_3}$ (J)) | PI(%)<br>( $s_{PI}$ (%)) |
|--------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| D + 1% st          | 10      | 16.92 (0.50)                            | 8.79 (0.50)                   | 6.32 (0.04)                   | 1.82 (0.02)                   | 77.66 (0.22)             |
| D + 2% st          |         | 16.57 (0.24)                            | 8.69 (0.17)                   | 6.06 (0.07)                   | 1.83 (0.03)                   | 76.78 (0.18)             |
| D + 1% P           |         | 17.77 (0.26)                            | 9.67 (0.25)                   | 6.29 (0.07)                   | 1.81 (0.02)                   | 77.65 (0.24)             |
| D + 2% P           |         | 17.66 (0.18)                            | 9.77 (0.13)                   | 6.09 (0.07)                   | 1.81 (0.02)                   | 77.13 (0.18)             |
| D + 1% st          | 12      | 20.32 (0.35)                            | 10.65 (0.30)                  | 7.14 (0.08)                   | 2.54 (0.02)                   | 73.77 (0.02)             |
| D + 2% st          |         | 20.41 (0.24)                            | 10.79 (0.24)                  | 7.05 (0.07)                   | 2.57 (0.03)                   | 73.31 (0.14)             |
| D + 1% P           |         | 22.19 (0.29)                            | 12.43 (0.28)                  | 7.23 (0.06)                   | 2.54 (0.03)                   | 74.02 (0.27)             |
| D + 2% P           |         | 22.37 (0.02)                            | 12.91 (0.17)                  | 6.95 (0.04)                   | 2.52 (0.02)                   | 73.43 (0.12)             |
| D + 1% st          | 14      | 24.96 (0.24)                            | 13.53 (0.22)                  | 8.05 (0.07)                   | 3.38 (0.03)                   | 70.44 (0.21)             |
| D + 2% st          |         | 25.31 (0.36)                            | 13.90 (0.38)                  | 8.00 (0.10)                   | 3.40 (0.04)                   | 70.19 (0.03)             |
| D + 1% P           |         | 26.88 (0.04)                            | 15.31 (0.26)                  | 8.21 (0.21)                   | 3.37 (0.05)                   | 70.87 (0.28)             |
| D + 2% P           |         | 26.85 (0.39)                            | 15.66 (0.36)                  | 7.86 (0.07)                   | 3.33 (0.02)                   | 70.22 (0.19)             |

D – Disintequik™ MCC, st – magnesium stearate, P – poloxamer 407, CF – compression force,  $E_{\max}$  – total energy,  $E_1$  – energy of friction,  $E_2$  – energy accumulated by the tablet,  $E_3$  – energy of decompression, PI – plasticity

Table 2. Values of energies of compression and plasticity at the compression force of 14 kN: Mixtures of Flowlac® 100 and Microcel® MC-102 with lubricants

| Tableting material    | $E_{\max}$ (J)<br>( $s_{E_{\max}}$ (J)) | $E_1$ (J)<br>( $s_{E_1}$ (J)) | $E_2$ (J)<br>( $s_{E_2}$ (J)) | $E_3$ (J)<br>( $s_{E_3}$ (J)) | PI(%)<br>( $s_{PI}$ (%)) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| F + MCC 9 : 1 + 1% st | 21.44 (0.28)                            | 12.45 (0.23)                  | 5.52 (0.09)                   | 3.47 (0.02)                   | 61.41 (0.34)             |
| F + MCC 9 : 1 + 2% st | 21.34 (0.21)                            | 12.50 (0.23)                  | 5.35 (0.08)                   | 3.50 (0.04)                   | 60.46 (0.34)             |
| F + MCC 9 : 1 + 1% P  | 24.33 (0.11)                            | 15.18 (0.10)                  | 5.70 (0.03)                   | 3.45 (0.03)                   | 62.29 (0.18)             |
| F + MCC 9 : 1 + 2% P  | 24.62 (0.23)                            | 15.50 (0.20)                  | 5.72 (0.08)                   | 3.41 (0.03)                   | 62.61 (0.20)             |
| F + MCC 8 : 2 + 1% st | 24.26 (0.20)                            | 14.74 (0.16)                  | 6.03 (0.05)                   | 3.48 (0.04)                   | 63.43 (0.24)             |
| F + MCC 8 : 2 + 2% st | 23.31 (0.08)                            | 13.87 (0.09)                  | 5.95 (0.04)                   | 3.49 (0.02)                   | 62.99 (0.19)             |
| F + MCC 8 : 2 + 1% P  | 26.62 (0.13)                            | 16.68 (0.17)                  | 6.53 (0.06)                   | 3.42 (0.02)                   | 65.66 (0.24)             |
| F + MCC 8 : 2 + 2% P  | 26.48 (0.16)                            | 16.56 (0.17)                  | 6.50 (0.09)                   | 3.42 (0.04)                   | 65.54 (0.37)             |
| F + MCC 7 : 3 + 1% st | 26.14 (0.22)                            | 15.89 (0.18)                  | 6.78 (0.10)                   | 3.48 (0.04)                   | 66.09 (0.19)             |
| F + MCC 7 : 3 + 2% st | 24.96 (0.27)                            | 14.77 (0.26)                  | 6.70 (0.06)                   | 3.49 (0.05)                   | 65.73 (0.22)             |
| F + MCC 7 : 3 + 1% P  | 29.14 (0.16)                            | 18.75 (0.14)                  | 6.99 (0.05)                   | 3.40 (0.02)                   | 67.26 (0.19)             |
| F + MCC 7 : 3 + 2% P  | 29.14 (0.18)                            | 16.78 (0.16)                  | 6.96 (0.07)                   | 3.40 (0.02)                   | 67.20 (0.21)             |

F – Flowlac® 100, MCC – Microcel® MC-102, st – magnesium stearate, P – poloxamer 407,  $E_{\max}$  – total energy,  $E_1$  – energy of friction,  $E_2$  – energy accumulated by the tablet,  $E_3$  – energy of decompression, PI – plasticity




Fig. 1. Tensile strength of tablets in function of compression force – Disintequik™ MCC with lubricants st – magnesium stearate, P – poloxamer 407




Fig. 3. Disintegration time of tablets in function of compression force – Disintequik™ MCC with lubricants st – magnesium stearate, P – poloxamer 407




Fig. 2. Tensile strength of tablets at the compression force of 14 kN D – Disintequik™ MCC, F – Flowlac® 100, MCC – Microcel® MC-102, st – magnesium stearate, P – poloxamer 407




Fig. 4. Disintegration time of tablets at the compression force of 14 kN D – Disintequik™ MCC, F – Flowlac® 100, MCC – Microcel® MC-102, st – magnesium stearate, P – poloxamer 407

of 9:1 corresponding as to the content to the substance Disintequik™ MCC. If the values of the energies of these two tableting materials are compared first, then the values of total energy  $E_{\max}$  are higher for the substance Disintequik™ MCC, which is due to the higher values of energy  $E_2$ , i.e. the energy accumulated by the tablet. Energy for friction does not exert greater differences in the values, and the energy of decompression is even in the corresponding physical mixture higher than in Disintequik™ MCC. In these two tableting materials there are lower values for  $E_{\max}$  for the lubricant magnesium stearate, this time due to lower values of energy for friction, and independence of the employed concentration for both lubricants is observed here. In the case of the other physical mixtures of spray-dried lactose and microcrystalline cellulose, the  $E_{\max}$  values are slightly increased with an increasing addition of microcrystalline cellulose due to increasing energy for friction and energy accumulated by the tablet after compaction. Values of  $E_{\max}$  are lower for magnesium stearate due to decreased energy for friction and lower values are exerted by its higher concentration; in the case of poloxamer 407 there is no statistically significant difference in the range of the concentrations employed. The values of energy of decompression are, on the other hand, higher in the mixtures with magnesium stearate, because it decreases

friction more and thus causes also higher back relaxation of tablets. Disintequik™ MCC exerts higher values of plasticity than the corresponding physical mixture of the individual components, which is due to the technological method of its preparation, which is probably spray-drying. Plasticity of this substance is not markedly influenced by the type or concentration of the lubricant. In the case of physical mixtures of dry binders, plasticity is increased with an increasing share of microcrystalline cellulose, which is plastically deformable. Lower values of plasticity are found in the mixtures with magnesium stearate, the effect of a higher concentration on the decrease of plasticity decreases with a higher representation of microcrystalline cellulose. When poloxamer 407 is used, there is no statistically significant difference between the values of plasticity in the range of the concentration employed.

Figures 1–4 summarize the results of the strength and disintegration time of tablets. Figure 1 represents the dependence of tensile strength of tablets on compression force for Disintequik™ MCC with lubricants. The strength of tablets increases with compression force and depends on the employed concentration of lubricants. Increased concentration of magnesium stearate decreases the strength of tablets due to the presence of plastically deformable microcrystalline cellulose<sup>13</sup>, whereas in

poloxamer 407 there an increase in strength takes place apparently due to its positive binding properties. The strength of tablets is represented also in Figure 2, this time it is a comparison of the values of strength of Disintequik™ MCC and physical mixtures of spray-dried lactose and microcrystalline cellulose. A physical mixture of dry binders in a corresponding ratio as in Disintequik™ MCC provides tablets with a markedly lower strength. With increasing representation of microcrystalline cellulose in the mixtures, the strength of tablets is increased, being higher with the use of poloxamer 407 as the lubricant. An increase in the concentration of magnesium stearate does not change the strength in the mixture of a corresponding content, in the other mixtures it is decreased as the result of a higher representation of microcrystalline cellulose<sup>13</sup>. On the other hand, an increase in the concentration of poloxamer 407 produces an increase in the strength, excepting the mixture with the highest representation of microcrystalline cellulose. Figure 3 describes dependence of the disintegration time of tablets for Disintequik™ MCC with lubricants. Disintegration time increases with compression force and increased concentrations of both lubricants. It is understandable for magnesium stearate due to its hydrophobicity, poloxamer 407 is water-soluble, but as a poloxamer with a higher molecular weight it possesses a tendency to become a gel and the tablet then disintegrates more slowly<sup>14</sup>. The effect of 1% concentration of lubricants depends on compression force, as at 10 kN tablets with 1% poloxamer 407 disintegrate more quickly, at 12 kN the values for both lubricants are balanced, and at 14 kN tablets with 1% magnesium stearate disintegrate more quickly. Figure 4 shows a comparison of disintegration times of tablets for Disintequik™ MCC and physical mixtures of dry binders at the compression force of 14 kN. The corresponding mixture of dry binders as well as other mixtures show multiple times shorter disintegration times of tablets than Disintequik™ MCC, and the effect of the type and employed concentration of the lubricant is not significant in them, excepting the mixtures of 9 : 1 and 8 : 2, where there is a slightly higher value for 2% of magnesium stearate.

#### Acknowledgment

The study was supported by the firm Kerry Bio-Science, Meggle-Pharma and Blanver, which supplied the samples of the dry binders tested.

**Conflicts of interest:** none.

#### References

1. **Nachaegari S. K., Bansal A. K.** Coprocessed excipients for solid dosage forms. *Pharm Technol* 2004; 28, 52–64.
2. **Saha S., Shahiwala A. F.** Multifunctional coprocessed excipients for improved tableting performance. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv* 2009; 6, 197–208.
3. **Bolhuis G. K., Armstrong N. A.** Excipients for direct compaction – an update. *Pharm Dev Technol* 2006; 11, 111–124.
4. **Gohel M. C., Jogani P. D.** A review of co-processed directly compressible excipients. *J Pharm Pharmaceut Sci* 2005; 8, 76–93.
5. Kerry: Self lubricating excipients. Firm. lit. 2012 <http://www.sheffieldbioscience.com/LubriTose/>
6. JRS Pharma: Prosolv® Easytab. Firm. Lit. 2010 [http://www.jrspharma.de/Pharma/wEnglisch/produktinfo/productinfo\\_prosolv\\_easytab.shtml](http://www.jrspharma.de/Pharma/wEnglisch/produktinfo/productinfo_prosolv_easytab.shtml)
7. Kerry: Disintequik MCC. Firm. Lit. 2012 [http://www.sheffieldbioscience.com/disintequik\\_mcc/](http://www.sheffieldbioscience.com/disintequik_mcc/)
8. **Ragnarsson G.** Force-displacement and network measurements. In: Alderborn G., Nyström Ch. eds. *Pharmaceutical Powder Compaction Technology* New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1996; 77–97.
9. **Fell J. T., Newton, J. M.** Determination of tablet strength by diametral-compression test. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 1970; 59, 688–691.
10. Czech Pharmacopoeia 2009 – Supplement 2010. Praha: Grada Publishing 2010; 4082.
11. **Belousov V. A.** K voprosu o vybore optimalnikh davlenii pressovania pri tabletirovanii lekarstvennykh poroshkov. *Khim. Farm. Zh.* 1976; 10, 105–111.
12. **Picker-Freyer K. M.** Tablet production systems. In: Gad S. C. (ed.) *Pharmaceutical Manufacturing handbook production and processes*. New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 2008; 1053–1098.
13. **Bolhuis G. K., Hölzer A. W.** Lubrication Issues in direct compaction. In: Alderborn G., Nyström Ch. (eds.) *Pharmaceutical powder compaction technology*. London: Informa Healthcare, 2011; 205–234.
14. **Dumortier G., Grossiord J. L., Agnely F., Chaumeil J. C.** A review of poloxamer 407. *Pharmaceutical and Pharmacological Characteristics. Pharm. Research* 2006; 23, 2709–2728.